

Review

TRENDS in Biotechnology Vol.25 No.4



# Nanopore sequencing technology: nanopore preparations

#### Minsoung Rhee<sup>1</sup> and Mark A. Burns<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA <sup>2</sup> Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

Additional sources:

http://www.ks.uiuc.edu/Research/hemolysin/

# Using nanopores

- Proven technique for sensing macromolecules
- A promising DNA sequencing method
  - single molecule sequencing
  - long reads
  - fast
  - simple preparation
- Currently limited success
  - can only discriminate
    - ssDNA / dsDNA
    - DNA / RNA homopolymers
    - single bases in hairpins



#### General nanopore detection principle



apply voltage, DNA moves through pore, changes in conductance, measure difference



TRANSLOCATION OF DNA THROUGH  $\alpha$ -hemolysin PORE



#### K+ and CI- ION FLOW THROUGH THE PORE (U = 240mV)

# A good pore

- Pore size must match analyte size
- Must be stable under various conditions
  - structural stability
  - electrical stability
- Easy to manufacture
- Possibility to integrate production
- Must be reproducible



# Nanopores for DNA analysis

- Organic nanopores
  - $-\alpha$ -hemolysin
    - common usage (well researched)
    - ideal pore size
- Synthetic solid-state nanopores
  - various techniques
    - conventional
    - non-conventional
  - construction more flexible

### the $\alpha$ -hemolysin pore

- First candidate for DNA detection
- Staphylococcus aureus 33kD monomeric transmembrane protein
- Self-assemble as heptamers on membranes and create a hydrophilic channel
  (a)
  Cap
- Pore size 15Å ideal for 13Å ssDNA analysis
- Steady current over large range – low background noise
- Highly reproducible



#### α-hemolysin assembly

- Separate buffer with a membrane
- Insert α-hemolysin in buffer
- α-hemolysin will form a channel
- max I = 120pA (120mV)





## Other organic pores

- Seek proteins with:
  - different pore sizes
  - less laborious preparation
  - less modification
  - more robust
  - even better reproducibility

### Other organic pores

- Mitochondrial porine (VDAC)
  - monomeric protein in outer m. membrane
  - 30Å aqueous pore (dsDNA)
  - formation similar to  $\alpha$ -hemolysin
- Bacillus subtilis vesicle membrane ion channels
  - detect small plasmids
- E. Coli OmpF pores
  - pore size: 10–12Å (~ssDNA)
  - no DNA translocation so far detected

# Other organic pores

- Nucleic acid binding protein (NAC) from a rat
  - opened by DNA presence  $\rightarrow$  increase in current
- Gramicidin pores
  - too small to translocate ssDNA
  - channel subunits mobile
  - fasten probe DNA to membrane
  - target DNA will bind to channel
  - on match subunits will be misalgined  $\rightarrow$  current decrease
  - measure hybridisation reaction rates



### Synthetic nanopores

- Potential advantages
  - larger choice of sizes
    - protein pore diameter is fixed
  - better stability
    - lipids are fragile
    - proteins withstand only limited electrical oprations
    - simple charge distribution
      - protein conductance = sum of 10-15 nucleotides in pore
  - invariable in performance

# Synthetic nanopores

- State-of-the art photolithography semiconductor technology
  - disadvantage: features > 100Å
- Ion beam sculpting
- Micromolding
- Latent track etching
- Electron beam-induced fine tuning
- Inorganic nanotubes

#### Ion beam sculpting

- Bombard material with high energy ions (>1000 eV)
  - $< 5C \rightarrow$  sputtering surface atoms removed
  - > 5C → lateral transport
- Fine-tune with ALD (atomic layer deposition)
  - fine tune pore size: ~1Å per cycle + add insulating  $AI_2O_3$  coating
  - final result: 18Å min. size



# Micromolding

- Conventional lithographic techniques
  - create a reusable master mold
    - pore: electron beam lithography
    - reservoirs: photolithography
    - substrate: silicon
  - cast into poly(dimethylsiloxane slab)
- Results:
  - pore size: 300Å (suitable for larger molecules)
  - simple, fast, reproducible
  - can create arrays of pores for parallel analysis

#### Latent track etching

- Method
  - Irradiate polymer film with fragments of energetic heavy ions
  - Ion fragments create a track into polymer on impact
  - Track can be etched chemically forming one-pore membrane
  - Coat pore walls with gold solutions  $\rightarrow$  gold nanotubules
- Results
  - 20Å (with gold coating)





#### Electron-beam induced fine tuning

- Method
  - Fabricate 340nm thick silicon membranes
  - Create 40nm SiO2 layer on both sides of membrane
  - Remove some SiO2 masks by laser-beams and etching
  - Drill holes with KOH etching + cover with 40nm SiO2
  - Fine tune with 300kV transmission electron microscopy
    - electron beam shrinks pores ~3Å / min
    - shrinkage depends on beam intensity and initial pore size
- Modifications: Ion beam sculpting + E-beam tuning
- Results: 10Å pore size (100Å before tuning)
- Advantages:
  - tight focus
  - direct visual feedback
  - variety of usable materials
- Disadvantages:
  - underlying physics not understood
  - might not be well reproducible



#### Inorganic nanotubes

#### Method

- Create a carbon nanotube
- Place on a grid
- Seal in liquid epoxy
- Cut thin slices from solid epoxy blocks
- Results
  - 500Å channels



#### Inorganic nanotubes

- Advantages
  - carbon properties well defined
    - carbon is electrically neutral
    - chemistry, structure known
    - · easy to make in various sizes
  - no high energy beams  $\rightarrow$  electric properties unchanged



#### Summary

- Most analyses performed with α-hemolysin
- Synthetic won't replace α-hemolysine in near future
  - underlying physics complicated
  - can give unreproducible results
- But are worth investigating
  - cheap integrated sequencing systems

|                                    | Protein<br>α-Hemolysin<br>assembly | Synthetic<br>Ion beam<br>sculpting  | Micro-<br>molding   | Latent track<br>etching      | E-beam<br>fine tuning                                 | Nanotubes                                     |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------------|---|---|
| Minimum pore<br>diameter reported  | 1.5 (fixed)                        | 1.8   | 80                  | 2.0                          | 1.0   | 50  |
| Membrane material<br>Pore material | Lipid bilayer<br>α-hemolysin       | Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub><br>Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> , Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | PDMS                | Poly-carbonate<br>Gold layer | Si, SiO <sub>2</sub> , Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> | Epoxy, Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub><br>MWNT |
| Remarks                            | Mass production                    | Size tuning   | Easy<br>fabrication | Conical shape                | Visual<br>fine tuning                                 | Stable,<br>uncharged                          |

#### Table 1. Nanopores from various preparation procedures